UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - - - - - - X

In re : Chapter 11

DPH HOLDINGS CORP., et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

· (T : 41 A 1 : : 4 1)

Reorganized Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

-----x

ORDER ENFORCING MODIFIED PLAN AND MODIFICATION APPROVAL ORDER INJUNCTION AGAINST LEIGH OCHOA

Upon the motion, dated June 5, 2010 (the "Motion"), of DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (the "Reorganized Debtors"), for entry of an order (i) enjoining Leigh Ochoa from proceeding against DPH Holdings Corp. in the proceedings entitled Leigh Ochoa v. DPH Holdings Corp., Case No. 09-14383-TLL (E.D. Mich.) (the "Michigan Action") and (ii) directing Ms. Ochoa to take such action as is necessary to dismiss the Michigan Action; and upon the record of the June 30, 2010 held upon the Motion (the "Hearing"); and it appearing that proper and adequate notice of the Motion has been given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. This Court has core jurisdiction over these chapter 11 cases and the parties and property affected hereby pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b) and 1334. Venue of this proceeding and this Motion in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
 - 2. The Motion is granted as provided herein.

05-44481-rdd Doc 20220-2 Filed 06/05/10 Entered 06/05/10 16:08:54 Proposed Order Pg 2 of 2

3. Leigh Ochoa is ordered to take such action as is necessary to immediately

dismiss the Michigan Action.

4. Any further prosecution of the Michigan Action, or any similar litigation

or proceeding in any forum against the Reorganized Debtors, without first proceeding in this

Court to establish sufficient cause for relief from the injunction set forth in paragraph 22 of the

Plan Modification Order and section 11.14 of the Modified Plan (the "Plan Injunction") with

respect to the Michigan Action, shall constitute contempt of this Court.

5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters

arising from or relating to the implementation of this order.

Dated: White Plains, New York June ____, 2010

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE